

Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Soil Erosion

Sheet and Rill Erosion

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $>$ 90% and slope $<$ 10%. Assessment level: The water erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌
The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 90 percent of the area.	Yes	No
Wind Erosion		
Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$. Assessment level: The wind erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No
The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 90 percent of the area.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Classic Gully Erosion

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation '	Test Met
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No
Soil erosion in areas integrated with trees is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.	Yes	No
Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cı	riteria Met
Screening level: Streams, shoreline or channels are not adjacent to site Assessment level: For shorelines and water conveyance channels; banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND for streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score > 5.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation '	Test Met
Excluding all fundamentally unstable, natural geomorphic streambanks/shorelines, all streambanks/shorelines on the operation show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Soil Quality Degradation

Organic Matter Depletion

Pl	lanning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
ac	creening level: Soil organic matter depletion is not a problem AND ctivities do not cause soil organic matter depletion. Assessment level: round cover meets state criteria specific to ecological site.	Yes	No
E	valuation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
wo so W	he areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine oody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the bil on more than 80 percent of the area. The topsoil is not displaced. Toody residue is being added to the forest floor through branch reakage and treefalls.	Yes	No
Com	<u>paction</u>		
Pl	lanning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
no	creening level: Soil compaction is not a problem AND activities do ot cause soil compaction problems. Assessment level: Compaction is anaged to meet client's production and management objectives.	Yes	No
E	valuation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
no	oil compaction is limited to roads and landings. Tree root growth is ot impeded. No more than 15 percent of the forested area is devoted roads, trails, and landings.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Subsidence

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Histisol soils are not present OR Histisols soils are not exhibiting subsidence. Assessment level: Subsidence is adequately managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No 🗌
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area. There is no artificial drainage operating on the site.	Yes	No
<u>C</u>	oncentration of Salts and other Chemicals		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Activities do not cause salinity/sodicity problems. Assessment level: Conservation practices and managements are in place to mitigate on-site effects.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	All erodible areas with high chemical concentrations (such as high salts) have been stabilized with permanent vegetation.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Excess Water

<u>Seeps</u>		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	teria Met
Screening level: Excess water from seeps does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objective.	Yes	No 🗌
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	est Met
Excess water seepage is controlled to the point that is does not restrict land use or management goals.	Yes	No 🗌
Runoff and Flooding and Ponding		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	teria Met
Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No 🗌
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	est Met
Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that is does not cause erosion or large streams of water.	Yes	No 🗌
Seasonal High Water Table		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	teria Met
Screening level: Seasonal high water table does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No 🗌
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	est Met
Forest management controls the soil moisture levels such that cyclical water table changes are not extreme.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Drifted Snow

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met		
Screening level: Drifted snow does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met		
Drifted snow is not a concern in this climate or measures are applied to avoid snow drifts on crops that may be harmed.	Yes	No	



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Insufficient Water

Inefficient Moisture Management

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Moisture management is not a problem AND activities do not cause inefficient moisture management problems. Assessment level: Runoff and evapotranspiration levels are minimized to meet client's management objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation 7	Гest Met
Management choices include actions to limit moisture loss. For example, maintaining shade, retaining the forest litter layer, and maintaining correct stocking levels.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Water Quality Degradation

Nutrients in Surface Water

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met	
	Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas. Assessment level: Nutrients if applied, are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.	Yes	No	
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met	
	The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No	
	Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas.	Yes	No	
	Filter strips that are at least 30 feet wide are established and maintained.	Yes	No	
Salts in Surface Water				
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met	
	Screening level: Excess salt is not a problem AND activities do not contribute to excess salt problem. Assessment level: Salt concentrations are managed to mitigate off-site transport to surface waters.	Yes	No	
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met	
	The concentration and likely harmfulness of salt is managed to limit impact on desired plants.	Yes	No 🗌	



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Salts in Ground Water

Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met	
Screening level: Excess salt is not a problem AND activities do not contribute to excess salt problem. Assessment level: Salt concentrations are managed to mitigate off-site transport to groundwater.	Yes	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met	
The concentration and likely harmfulness of salt is managed to limit impact on desired plants.	Yes	No 🗌	
Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Surface Water			
Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met	
Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.		No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met	
Filter strips that are at least 30 feet wide are established and maintained.	Yes	No 🗌	
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering			



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	ria Met
Screening level: Activities do not present the potential contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other passessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to su	pollutants.	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Tes	st Met
The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, st pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - with place designed to provide secondary containment if the were to fail.	tream, ditch, thin a stable	No
Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants	Transported to Ground Wa	<u>ater</u>
Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	ria Met
Screening level: Activities do not present the potential contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other passessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to grant to the papellutants are stored and th	pollutants.	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Tes	st Met
The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, st pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - wit place designed to provide secondary containment if the were to fail.	tream, ditch, thin a stable	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Excessive Sediment in Surface Water

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$ AND classic gullies are not present AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND the SVAP2 - bank condition $>= 5$ AND the livestock and vehicle water crossings are stable AND The water erosion rate is $<= T$ AND wind erosion rate is $<= T$.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
	Established filter strips are at least 30 feet wide and maintained.	Yes	No
	All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
<u>Ele</u>	evated Water Temperature		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment OR water course temperature is not a client concern. Assessment level: The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is >= 5 AND the SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score is >= 5 AND the SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is >= 6, OR existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	More than 50 percent of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river you control.	Yes	No 🗌



Natural Resources Conservation Service CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM **Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool**

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Air Quality Impacts

Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	iteria Met	
Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or treated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met		
Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.	Yes	No	
Hedges or rows of trees/large shrubs are established that reduce and intercept air borne particulate matter.	Yes	No	
Existing windbreak(s)/shelterbelt(s) function has been improved or restored.	Yes	No	



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Emissions of Ozone Precursors

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Operations are not present that produce ozone precursor emissions. Ozone precursor producing activities are: Engines (combustion source), Pesticide application, Burning, CAFO/manure management, Fertilization (manure/commercial). Assessment level: Ozone precursor emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.	Yes	No
<u>En</u>	nission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Degraded Plant Condition

Inadequate Structure and Composition

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	iteria Met
Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation 7	Γest Met
The current plants provide the desired habitat structure and composition.	Yes	No
Excessive Plant Pest Pressure		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	iteria Met
Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure. Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation 7	Γest Met
Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.	Yes	No 🗌
Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain early successional habitat to help plant diversity.	Yes	No
Trees are selected or planted that are tolerant of known damaging pests.	Yes	No
The current plant composition prevents outbreak of non-desirable species.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Wildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met		
Screening level: Wildfire hazards is not a concern. Assessment level: Fuel loads and fuel ladders are managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives.	Yes	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met		
Fire risk to sensitive sites are controlled by treatment, removal or modification of vegetation, debris and detritus in a strip or area.	Yes	No 🗌	
A hazardous fuel reduction treatment has occurred or will occur.	Yes	No 🗌	



Natural Resources Conservation Service CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM **Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool**

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat

Inadequate Habitat - Food

Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met
Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruptionchemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, AND - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater.	Yes	No
Existing plants provide food for the chosen declining, threatened, or endangered wildlife species < see State Wildlife Action Plan>	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cover is of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation To	est Met
The pond/lake, which supports a natural or planted fish population, is managed: -to exclude livestock, -to control nuisance species and undesirable aquatic vegetation controlled, -to complies with state and local regulations when stocking the pond, AND -use of a buffer zone of diverse, natural plant cover at least 35 feet wide.	Yes	No
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas	Yes	No
All stream banks show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.	Yes	No
Plant growth provides cover/shelter that benefits threatened, endagered, or declining wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No
The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure, and - human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition.	Yes	No
Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruptionchemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No
Internally drained features such as playas or potholes are left undrained and uncropped.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Inadequate Habitat - Water

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
Plant cover provides access to water that is at the right height and/or depth for wildlife species.	Yes	No
Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.	Yes	No
Changes to water flow for irrigation or otherwise are limited to not	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	iteria Met
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation 7	Γest Met
In-stream structures (dam, diversion structure, bridge, culvert, low-water stream crossing, etc.) allow for the upstream/downstream movement of fish and other aquatic animals throughout most of the year.	Yes	No
Designated areas are planted as habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. Non-cropped area protected from disruption during nesting and foraging periodschemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No 🗌
People, vehicles, equipment, or livestock are only moved across a stream/river at a bridge, culvert, or stabilized ford crossing(s). Travel across the stream/river beyond these crossings is controlled.	Yes	No 🗌
Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the chosen wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Livestock Production Limitation

Inadequate Feed and Forage

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifer, livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The existing feed/forage quantity/quality meet the livestock needs and goals.	Yes	No
<u>In</u>	adequate Shelter		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifer, artificial or natural shelters meet animal health needs and client objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	Evaluation Tests Livestock has adequate shelter.	Evaluation Te Yes	st Met
<u>In</u>			
<u>In</u>	Livestock has adequate shelter.		No 🗌
<u>In</u>	Livestock has adequate shelter. adequate Water	Yes	No 🗌
<u>In</u>	Livestock has adequate shelter. adequate Water Planning Criteria Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifer, water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal	Yes Planning Crite	No eria Met No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Inefficient Energy Use

Equipment and Facilities

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.	Yes	No
Renewable energy systems are applied. For example, solar, wind,	Yes 🗌	No 🗍



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_NM - NIPF_Associated Ag Land

Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	teria Met
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	est Met
Renewable energy systems are applied. For example, solar, wind, geothermal, or hydro.	Yes	No
Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.	Yes	No